The Real Politics of Bangladesh: The Inside Story of Local Power Brokers

Manzoor Hasan, Barrister-at-Law
Chair, UNCAC Coalition
Executive Director, South Asian Institute of Advanced
Legal & Human Right Studies

Politics and Governance

- Since 1991 Parliamentary form of government
- Bangladesh's performance (economic & social indicators) has been impressive
- Governance has been poor corruption, weak rule of law, institutional weaknesses, politicization & growing violence/impunity
- Political economy analysis is inadequate need to undertake micro-level studies to formulate appropriate policies

Overview of Research

- Understand local power structures
- Focus on mastaans ('mafia') local power brokers
- Try to understand corruption, political violence and distribution of benefits from economic growth using a variety of methods
- Research analyses a variety of data economic interests & criminal activities; perpetrators & victims; process (violence & negotiation); election violence.

Politicians, Mastaans & Governance: A Survey of Journalists on Local Power Structures

Research Design

- Survey of journalists
- 7 constituencies, 1 randomly selected for each division of Bangladesh
- Variety of contexts: peri-urban, rural, border town, coastal

Top forms of economic crimes: land grabbing and drug dealing

	Case Name by Division	Description	Forms of Crime for Profit
1.	Dhaka Constituency	Peri Urban	Land grabbing (95.8%) Drug Dealing (79.2%) Extortion (45.8%)
2.	Sylhet Constituency	Semi Rural	Land grabbing (90.4%) Drug Dealing (69.2%) Theft (69.2%)
3.	Chittagong Constituency	Semi Rural/ Border	Land grabbing (92.2%) Drug Dealing (78.4%) Drug Smuggling (72.5%)
4.	Rangpur Constituency	Rural	Drug Dealing (76.3%) Drug Smuggling (71.0%) Land grabbing (54.8%)
5.	Rajshahi Constituency	Rural	Drug Dealing (94.7%) Drug Smuggling (68.4%) Theft (68.4%)
6.	Khulna Constituency	Rural/ Coastal	Land grabbing (87.2%) Drug Dealing (61.7%) Extortion (57.4%)
7.	Barisal Constituency	Semi Rural	Land grabbing (74.1%) Drug Dealing (72.2%) Theft (59.3%)

Top individuals involved in land grabbing include politicians and mastaans

	Case Name by Division	Description	Types of People Involved
1.	Dhaka Constituency	Peri Urban	Politician (87.5%)
			Youth leader (62.5%)
			Mastaan (58.3%)
2.	Sylhet Constituency	Semi Rural	Politician (86.3%)
			Community leader, youth leader,
			mastaan (49.0%)
3.	Chittagong Constituency	Semi Rural/ Border	Politician (80.4%)
			Mastaan (70.6%)
			Community leader (49.0%)
4.	Rangpur Constituency	Rural	Politician (73.9%)
			Mastaan (54.3%)
			Gov't official (43.5%)
5.	Rajshahi Constituency	Rural	Politician (78.9%)
			Mastaan (63.2%)
			Police (36.8%)
6.	Khulna Constituency	Rural/ Coastal	Politician (93.6%)
			Mastaan (61.7%)
			Gov't official (48.9%)
7.	Barisal Constituency	Semi Rural	Politician (85.2%)
			Mastaan (66.7%)
			Gov't official (61.1%)

Variation in the level of *mastaan* power, correlated with local economies

	Case Name by Division	Description	Average Score (1-5)
1.	Dhaka Constituency	Peri Urban	4.08
2.	Sylhet Constituency	Semi Rural	3.12
3.	Chittagong Constituency	Semi Rural/Border	3.67
4.	Rangpur Constituency	Rural	2.89
5.	Rajshahi Constituency	Rural	2.74
6.	Khulna Constituency	Rural/ Coastal	4.09
7.	Barisal Constituency	Semi Rural	3.80

Thank You

Researchers:

Dr. Jonathan Rose
Sultan Mohammed Zakaria
Manzoor Hasan